



Corporation before or after filing a valid complaint on July 17, 2003 regarding the installation, maintenance, and operability of the aforementioned transformer (voltage monitoring, infrared thermography, hi-pot testing, megger testing, oil testing, core to ground test, photographs etc.)

2. The Minister's response was sent within the prescribed time frame, on May 28, 2007. In that response, the Department disclosed all of the documents in its possession that corresponded to the respondent's request, subject to the deletion of all relevant personal information.
3. In all, the Minister disclosed 11 documents, these being the only documents in his possession dated before February 24, 2004 that may have informed the decision of Fire Marshal McLaughlin. I was able to confirm that fact by means of an inspection of the documents in question pursuant to subsection 7(4) of the Act.
4. I was also able to confirm that the non-disclosed personal information had been severed in accordance with subsection 6(b) of the Act and the broad interpretation that the New Brunswick courts have seen fit to give to it. Although it would seem that certain of this personal information was severed from documents already sent to the petitioner, until the Act is amended or the established jurisprudence is reversed, there are no grounds for intervening in the Minister's decision.
5. In all circumstances of the case, I find that the respondent had full and complete disclosure of the documents corresponding to the respondent's request, within the limits prescribed by the Act. In this instance, there is no reason to recommend more complete disclosure.

**Dated at Fredericton, New Brunswick, this 1st day of August, 2007.**

---

**Bernard Richard, Ombudsman**